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Abstract: Technology Enhanced Language Learning (TELL) TELL refers to any technology used in the classroom such as video, tape recorders or even entire language labs. In collaborative learning, students work together as members of a learning community, working on problem-solving tasks by questioning each other, and discussing and sharing information. This paper examines the notion of collaborative learning, the relationship between technology and collaboration, and the integration of technology into collaborative language learning. A case study was conducted to examine how the use of technology-enhanced, collaborative language learning enables students to work collaboratively in a university context. Participants from a range of students in a variety of majors at the University of Tasmania were invited to participate in a questionnaire to present their views on this style of learning and their perception of technology-enhanced language learning.
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INTRODUCTION

The advent of innovative information and communication technologies has induced certain changes in the present educational system. These interactive technologies have affected the very nature of teaching and learning. From an educational perspective, the Internet has the potential to radically change the way that learners assimilate information. Students who learn via the Internet have to develop cognitive abilities which enable them to research, to identify, to analyze and to synthesize new information in order to construct their knowledge base. The interactive media of the Internet, such as e-mail and
hypertext navigation, gives learners the chance to participate actively in the learning process and to communicate easily with other learners. Therefore, the role of computers in language instruction has now become an important issue confronting large numbers of language teachers throughout the world.

Additionally, there has been a significant expansion in distance education technologies with the potential to improve the quality of teaching and learning. Furthermore, the new computer-based technologies provide opportunities for enhancing the quality of teaching and learning. Language is closely associated with economic modernization and technological development. Technological advances may have an impact on language teaching.

In a review of existing evidence of technology's impact on learning, [10] found strong evidence that educational technology "complements what a great teacher does naturally," extending their reach and broadening their students' experience beyond the classroom. "With ever-expanding content and technology choices, from video to multimedia to the Internet," [10] suggests that "there's an unprecedented need to understand the recipe for success, which involves the learner, the teacher, the content, and the environment in which technology is used." Teachers are expected to be competent in utilizing technology for their own productivity and also to improve their students’ learning outcome. In addition, computer hardware and software are developing fast and this requires teachers to adapt quickly to changes. Ongoing and quality professional development is seen as necessary to help teachers cope with pace and the use of current change [20], [4].

**BACKGROUND**

In the 1980s, the application of technology in language classrooms included the use of film, radio, television, language labs with audio and videotapes, computers, and interactive video [3]. Computer technology became more accessible to both individuals and schools. Today, the use of multimedia, the Internet (especially the World Wide Web), and various forms of distance learning are widespread. Interest in using computers as tools to support language learning is growing, both from the perspective of a language educator and that of a language learner.

**Why Technology?**

Technology stimulates learning motivation through collaborative learning and it also improves learning efficiency by integrating classroom learning. Classroom research suggests that students do not get much speaking time during regular classroom interactions. Technology can provide a way to give
speaking practice, and an improvement is suggested over the traditional use of audio cassettes. Audio Portfolios is a web-based tool that collects and manages students' audio recordings in an online environment.

Technology as a powerful and convenient tool which can provide learners with a rich resource, a visual environment as well as an instructional platform, plays a vital role in language learning. Each technology is likely to play a different role in students' learning. Rather than trying to describe the impact of all technologies as if they were the same, researchers need to think about what kind of technologies are being used in the classroom and for what purposes. Students can learn "from" computers—where technology is used essentially and serves to increase students basic skills and knowledge; and students can also learn "with" computers—where technology is used as a tool that can be applied to a variety of goals in the learning process and can serve as a resource to help develop critical thinking, creativity and research skills [13].

Currently, there are various computer technologies used in education in order to improve teachers’ productivity and students’ learning outcomes. Three of the common technologies being used are highlighted in this paper. Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI) is the term used to describe computer settings that is specifically design for drill and practice, tutorials, simulations and problem solving [5], [15]. CAI is also used as a supplement for traditional teaching and learning which is more teacher-directed [5]. It can be seen that CAI is used when discrete lessons are presented to achieve specific but limited educational objectives. Computer-based multimedia is the most current and most attractive computing technology used in education today [2], [8]. In a computer-based multimedia learning environment, various types of multimedia elements may be used at the same time [2], [8]. The elements of multimedia include pictures, animations, sound and video which are also integrated with other computer technologies such as the Internet [8]. The combination of the technologies used is hoped to be able to enrich the learning experience for the learners including adults [15]. Computer mediated communication (CMC) is mainly about computer applications that facilitate communication [1], [11]. Students and teachers are able to communicate with one another through emails, video-conferencing and newsgroups. CMC provides means of better and more flexible communication in which students and teachers can keep in touch even at long distances and at anytime.

Why Collaborative Learning?

According to [6], the concept of collaborative learning, the grouping and pairing of students for the purpose of achieving an academic goal, has been widely researched and advocated throughout the professional literature. The
term “collaborative learning” refers to an instruction method in which students at various performance levels work together in small groups toward a common goal. The students are responsible for one another’s learning as well as their own. Thus, the success of one student helps other students to be successful.

Some supporters of collaborative learning [6], [9], [12] argue that it enhances both social and cognitive skills. For example, according to [5], there is strong evidence that cooperative teams achieve at higher levels of thought and retain information longer than students who work quietly as individuals. The shared learning gives students an opportunity to engage in discussion, take responsibility for their own learning, and thus become critical thinkers [18]. In collaborative learning classrooms where students are engaged in a thinking curriculum, each student is a member of the learning community, and no student is deprived of the opportunity of making contributions and appreciating the contributions of others. Of course, collaborative learning does not always work. There are many factors to take into consideration and it is important to examine those conditions under which collaborative learning is found to be either efficient or not [12], [16], [17]. Collaborative learning appears to be crucial to the effectiveness of online learning environments.

It should be noted that collaborative learning is not confined to an educational institution. It can apply to different working environments. Advances in technology and changes in the organizational infrastructure put an increased emphasis on teamwork within the workforce. Workers need to be able to think creatively, solve problems, and make decisions as a team. Teamwork is a common feature of current institutions. Therefore, the development and enhancement of critical-thinking skills through collaborative learning is one of the primary goals of technology education.

A CASE STUDY

A case study was conducted to investigate students’ perspectives towards technology-enhanced, collaborative learning in language study.

Aim and Methodology

The aim of my study is to explore some technological aspects of language education, to consider these aspects in terms of language studies and to enable each student to develop their ability to improve this style of learning. This ability helps students to learn another language effectively.

A questionnaire was the tool used in this study. It was designed to collect two broad types of information:
Data Analysis and Results

Data gathering involved the distribution of 45 questionnaires. Demographic information was obtained from the questionnaire which was completed by 45 respondents. The data collected from the questionnaire in this study was entered into the SPSS (Sphinx Survey or Ethnography respectively) for analysis, focusing on any relationship between independent variables such as male/female, and dependent variables such as views and attitudes on technology-enhanced, collaborative language learning in a university context. The items in the questionnaire provided an opportunity to gather information on the various participants’ perceptions of technology-enhanced, collaborative language learning. The data provided information on two major aspects:

- Participants’ Demographic Background
- Attitudes towards and experience of technology-enhanced, collaborative language learning

As mentioned above, a total of 45 students participated in this study. A fifteen item questionnaire was developed to collect descriptive data on the participants. Results of the questionnaire revealed that the majority of all respondents were aged between 19-30 years (80%), and female students (60%) were 20% more than male (40%). All of them are doing undergraduate study, more than half are first year students (51.2%) and the second largest group is the second year (30.2%). The educational specialization of these students is mainly Arts (52.3%), and the second major area of study is “others”, such as commerce and education (25.0% respectively). And also 15.9% of the participants are undertaking study for combined degrees, such as computing/arts, arts/law.

Students generally saw benefits in the technology-enhanced, collaborative approach, as shown by the summary of results in Table 1, 2, 3 and 4. For questions 8 and 9, more than half the students agreed that the approach was beneficial. It is interesting that a large percentage of students have never chosen any online course, and that approximately half the students have broadband at home. Agreement on the value of the method was very high on some questions. For questions 1, 5, 7 and 10, more than 80 percent of respondents indicated agreement. Results also indicate that students were also interested in the Internet and the various audio-visual aids and software available.
TABLE1. Result of Student Survey 45 Responses

| Question                                                         | Percentages
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Use technology and/or collaboration in your learning</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Use language lab to improve your learning</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Use online dictionaries to help with your learning</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Use any other online tools (e.g. generic software or task-based web activities) to enhance your learning</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Use audio-visual aid/materials</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Encounter technical difficulties</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Use WebCT or email</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Participate in a study group or group work</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE2. Result of Student Survey 45 Responses

| Question                         | Percentages
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Learn more as part of a group than individually</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE3. Result of Student Survey 45 Responses

| Question                            | Percentages
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In the library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Where do you use this style of learning</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE4. Result of Student Survey 45 Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Have you ever chosen any online course</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Do you have broadband at home</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes: Questions are abbreviated from those actually given in the survey.

From the above, it is clearly seen that the technology-enhanced, collaborative learning method was generally well received by students, with a clear majority seeing social, learning and skill development advantages. Some student groups were more positive than others. For example, female students tended to be more positive than males, especially in agreeing that the method enhanced communication skills, encouraged them and promoted understanding.

However, the collaborative learning did not always work in an efficient manner. Some students complained of technical difficulties which greatly hampered communication. These technical difficulties created a high level of frustration amongst the learners. Lack of computer literacy may place novice
computer users at a disadvantage. These students had little or no knowledge of word-processing software, the Internet and its communication tools. Since communication was problematic, the collaborative process was not able to function at an optimal level.

What Do the Data Tell Us?

Firstly, concerning problems and concerns regarding technology-enhanced, collaborative learning, this research study shows mixed results. Respondents had divergent views on this matter. Students made common remarks about the effectiveness of the Video conferencing such as:

Remark 1
Video-link can prove to have difficulties with gaining a clear understanding of lessons.

Remark 2
Slight time lag makes it difficult for oral tasks; also lack of transmission of body language makes it hard to communicate.

Remark 3
In video linkups it is hard to interact with other students, but the new technology is a big improvement.

Moreover, there were also some negative findings with regard to the use of technological approaches in language learning process. For instance,

Remark 1
Online dictionaries and translations are not usually very reliable.

Remark 2
Malfunctions occur too often, much time is spent trying to fix technological issues, maybe because of the complexity in operating the software. Software should be made easier to operate.

Remark 3
Different computers run and display files in different ways. A program that runs correctly on a home computer may malfunction on a school computer.

Secondly, considering the experience of working in a group or with the help of technology, and the resultant academic and social benefits, the responses indicated that a clear majority of students saw social, learning and skill development benefits in this style of learning. Because of flexibility, learners can choose to make their own learning paths, choose when and where to learn, and even with whom they wish to learn, no matter where they are in the world. As a result, the majority were in favor of working in a group or with the help of technology, primarily because they enjoyed the process of working with partners and sharing their learning experiences with their peers. The majority...
of these students revealed that they would have liked more contact with other students. Here are some of the remarks:

Remark 1
Bonding makes it more enjoyable and encourages participation and attendance.

Remark 2
Getting a real understanding of other people’s point of view and working with groups reflects the real work place where working in groups is highly important.

Remark 3
Working in a group helps me remember rather than monologing at home. Working together in class, I think, makes people more comfortable and therefore more confident.

Remark 4
Technology takes away embarrassment and groups instill confidence.

Remark 5
Working in a group one is less likely to make mistakes and one gains understanding of different points of view; while technology is more essential, than beneficial, to learning.

However, interestingly there was one participant holding a completely opposite opinion, “I don’t benefit from group work as we end up talking.” As [17] point out, the perspectives, experiences, and backgrounds of all students are important for enriching learning in the classroom. As learning beyond the classroom increasingly requires understanding diverse perspectives, it is essential to provide students opportunities to do this in multiple contexts in schools. The fact is that not everyone makes an equal contribution [16], [18], [19]. A critical characteristic of collaborative classrooms is that students are not segregated according to supposed ability, achievement, interests, or any other characteristic. Segregation seriously weakens collaboration and impovershies the classroom by depriving all students of opportunities to learn from and with each other. Contribution to collaborative learning should be meaningful and purposeful.

Lastly, concerning any changes about the behavior or approach in future technology-enhanced, collaborative learning situations, and some students expected to engage in and have greater access to technology-enhanced, collaborative learning. One statement was that technology is helpful for multimedia (especially audio): drill (character recognition) at higher levels of language study, while “blogs” and extended writing pieces can be helpful, too. In the current context of teaching and learning in a tertiary discourse, a lecture in its traditional sense does not necessarily meet the demand of learners as a lecture can only function in a very limited context. However, the internet
provides an educational discourse in which learners can interact widely with other members of a learning community, and at the same time learners are in control of their own learning. Their interaction for learning can be immediate, prompt, widely shared and resource-supported and this may not be possible in a traditional mode of teaching in which teachers and students are heavily constrained by the physical conditions of a classroom.

In summary, since the data pool was fairly limited, the results of this study pertain only to certain undergraduate courses at the University of Tasmania. Some further studies need to be done in this field to explore a very effective way to attract and take learners to new language learning environments.

**CONCLUSION**

As stated previously, without a doubt, technology has revolutionized society in many places around the globe, including how language instruction is taught and delivered. Technology has evolved so quickly that what was known as new technology a few years ago is now already viewed as old and obsolete. It should be noted that if we try to integrate technology in our teaching as presented in this article, staff development and teacher training will need to be continuous and ongoing. [7] reinforce this point in their claim that traditional staff development models of workshops and conference presentations will not meet the need for continuous on-going technology. Current and future educators will need to be exposed and trained to use the new technologies available so that they can utilize technology to deliver a more effective and valuable learning experience to meet learners’ needs.

In a transmission model of learning, knowledge is given from teachers to learners and collaborative learning does not play an important role. Currently there has been a shift from teaching to learning, from transmitting to interacting. Teachers and learners are members of a learning community in which collaboration is one of the key learning experiences.

Future developments in networked communication, multimedia, and artificial intelligence will likely converge, creating a potentially more central role for the computer as a tool for authentic language exploration and use in the second language classroom. As our focus of attention gradually shifts from the computer itself to the natural integration of computers into the language learning process, we will know that we can make technology and the Internet a more rewarding partner in the language teaching and learning process.
REFERENCES

7. C. E. Hopey and L. Ginsburg, “Distance learning and new technologies: You can’t predict the future but you can plan for it,” Adult Learning, 8(1), 1996, pp.22-23.